AUDITOR OF THE COURT OF SESSION

PARLIAMENT HOUSE, EDINBURGH, EHI 1RQ

O31 225 2595 Extn. 306

KA v HA

On the joint remit of the Legal Aid Central Committee (on behalf of the Legal Aid (Scotland) Fund) and Messrs. Brodies, W.S., the Auditor taxes at the sum of THREE THOUSAND AND FORTY NINE POUNDS AND FORTY PENCE (£3,049.40) the expenses payable by the Fund to the solicitors for their whole work on behalf of under the Legal Aid Certificate issued under reference 37/01/155829/81. The said sum is inclusive of all fees, posts and outlays as set out in the Account of Expenses, of V.A.T. on the appropriate proportion of solicitors charges and Counsel's fees, and of the Auditor's fee, inclusive of V.A.T.

EDINBURGH

THE PARTY OF THE P

12th June 1984

NOTE: The account submitted in this defended divorce action contained block fees for work carried out by Messrs. Brodies, W.S., and their correspondents.

While admitting that a solicitor may avail himself of block fees for ease and convenience when a detailed account would bring out a slightly higher total fee figure, generally the Central Committee take the view that the solicitor is exercising his option to charge block fees in preference to detailed charges in his own overall financial interest. It is accordingly proper, in the Auditor's view, that any claim for an increase in block fees be scrutinised with particular care. In the present case thirty-two sheets of precognitions were claimed, twenty-three of them of the Assisted Person himself, and the fee claimed for preparation for proof was double the figure provided in the Court of Session Table.

After hearing parties at the diet of taxation, the Auditor taxed the preparation for proof fee at £180.00 - a 50% increase on the appointed figure. At the diet the Auditor was handed copies of precognitions in question and took time to consider them subsequently.

The Auditor Evan H. Weir, W.S. Principal Clerk James W. Hastings

Continued/....

/Continued.....

subsequently. The reason given very briefly in the Account for the increased preparation fee is "TWO consultations necessary and attenda of correspondent at both". The Auditor was advised that the second consultation was particularly requested by Counsel. The action was defended on the merits. There was continuing dispute throughout the action in relation to the defender's access to the children of the marriage. A capital sum of £8,000.00 was awarded to the pursuer.

In a defended divorce action it is often considered proper on a party-and-party basis (the consistorial scale applying) to allow the charges of the local agent attending one consultation with Counsel in Edinburgh, as it is accepted that in the very nature of the proceedings, the personal contact of the local solicitor with the local events, and the witnesses, as well as with his own client enables him to contribute significantly to the picture to be presented to Counsel, as well as to afford the client some degree of moral support when discussing essentially personal matters with Counsel and Edinburgh solicitors with whom he will have had little or no contact. This taxation is on the agent-and-client, fund paying, basis. The contest so developed as to put in issue the whole attitude of the parties to the marriage and their conduct while it subsisted and up to the date of the hearing. The evidence of the client was very fully recorded at substantial expenses. Balancing these relevant factors as best he can, in the light of the full picture given him by the process and at the diet, the! Auditor regards as reasonable the increase he has allowed in the block fee for preparation for proof.

24th	May	1984	_
			? 1 98

THE LAW SOCIETY OF SCOTLAND LEGAL AID MEMORANDUM

From Civil Taxation Dept. To	o.	***************************************
------------------------------	----	---

- 37/01/155829/81

In this case the Defender's Agents elected to charge their account on a block basis and a dispute arose after they <u>doubled</u> the Preparation for Proof fee on the grounds that two consultations were necessary and consequently they increased the fee in terms of General Regulation (F).

The Department decided to proceed to Taxation on the basis that the previous Auditor, although acknowledging the Regulations, would not exercise his option to increase the fees. The Auditor examined the process etc. in this case and found that the fresh Consultation on 23rd February 1983 was held in connection with financial conclusions and followed receipt of a six page Note on Line received from Counsel. The second consultation was held on 3rd May 1983 just prior to the Proof and dealt with the actual Defence on Merits. The Auditor considered that there was sufficient cause to hold two consultations and therefore increased the Preparation fee by 50%.

The Auditor indicated that he would consider increasing any Block Fee, depending on the circumstances of each case.

I would think that if any request for increased fees is presented to us in the future we would have to be quite sure that there was a valid reason for allowing same and these problems should be brought to attention.

IA-Ref. 37/01/155829/81

COURT of SESSION, SCOTLAND.

ACCOUNT of EXPENSES

incurred by Defender

LEGAL AID CODE 00230

in causa

PURSUER

against

DEFENDER

				1. <u>LEGAL AID WORK</u>				
		1982 Jan.		Framing Legal Aid Memo			£ 2	25
	•			Extending and 2			0	90
	()			Framing Legal Aid Forms			2	25
	(-			Extending and 2			0	90
	1			Writing correspondents			1	75
1				Writing acknowledging			o	50
1		l		Writing Defender			O	50
:		Feb.		Attendance on him completing Legal Aid Forms			7	50
*	1	ı.		Writing Brodies		} .}	1	75
			9	Writing acknowledging			0	50
;			_	Lodging Legal Aid Application			1	75
اد اد	,			Intimating] }	1	75
	'X'	Mar.		Framing Emergency Legal Aid Form		} {	1	35
•				Extending and 2		}	0	90
!				Writing Defender to sign		}	О	50
•		Apr.	2	Writing acknowledging			0	50
1.				Lodging same			0	50
			16	Writing acknowledging Emergency Legal Aid Certificate and returning papers			1	75
				Perusing			2	οc
				Writing correspondents			0	<u>5</u> (
11.4						} {	£30	30
-117 THE THE				<u>Add</u> : 30%			9	O ^c
1 1 1				C/f.			£39	35
G		l	1	l .	"		a !	1

	- J. 5/8	1	- 2 - "	1 11	ı
•		/_	B/f.	£39	39
	/		riting Legal Aid with copy Accounts	0	70-
, in			Copies sent 6 shs	1	80
1	ane	3	Perusing full Legal Aid Certificate	2	75´
			Writing correspondents	0	70′
			Writing Pursuers	0	70
		18	Writing Legal Aid with Legal Aid Certificate explaining points raised by Counsel re same and for cover for p.a. and capital sum 2 pp	5	, co
		29	Writing them acknowledging and thereament	2	50.
			Framing supplementary memo	3	20
i			Extending and 2	1	30
			Writing correspondents with same and thereanent	2	50
<u>-</u>			Correspondents writing Defender	0	70
			Correspondents writing acknowledging	0	70
			Correspondents writing Brodies with same	0	70
	July	7	Writing Legal Aid acknowledging		70
		13	Writing them with supplementary memo	0	70-
	l		Writing Pursuers	0	70.
		21	Writing Legal Aid acknowledging and with further information etc., required	2	50-
ĺ	Aug.	6	Writing them with Certificate to amend	0	70-
			Writing correspondents reporting	2	50-
			Borrowing Legal Aid Certificate	1	40 -
			Perusing amended Certificate	2	75~
ĺ	0 -		Re-lodging	1	40
	1983 Mar.	9	Writing Legal Aid for authority to employ Mr Scott Henderson of Pollock & Buchan for Valuation	2	50,
		17	Perusing Authority	2	75
			Writing correspondents	0	70
	Sept.		Writing Faculty Services	0	70
			Framing SR4	1	40.
			Extending	0	70
			Сору	0	30′

C/f.

£85 04

B/f.	€ 85	04
writing Legal Aid	c	70
	€ 85	74
2. COURT WORK		
Jan. 1981 Apr. 1982 Instruction Fee (2) 41-50	42	50
Precognitions: Defender (02 % & 12 shs		
8. s. 6 shs		
£157. (18 shs)	}	2 00
(540) Extending and 2 /8 90 -(16-20) 18 shs ea		80
Motion for interim custody 4. Cover	, ,,	00 _
Motion for access	13	00
Motion re Pursuer's Minute of Amendment	4	50
130 31-15	€271	54
Ast 9-39. Add: 30%	55	85
Add: 30% Add: 30%	£327	39
May 1982 Instruction Fee 118 (2)	. 59	0 /
29.50 Adjustment Fee	125	00/
Motion to vary interim aliment	18	50/
26 40 Precognitions: Defender Adjustment fee 11 shs		
26 -40 Precognitions: Defender Odjustment fee 11 shs		
3 shs (14 shs)	168	00/
Extending and 2 copies 14 × 14 shs ea	20	20
Incidental Procedure 100%	110	00
Preparing for Proof (added 10%) to fee as 2 Consultations necessary and attendance of	cent to	
consultations necessary and attendance of correspondent at both)	• 1 11	00
Defenders specifications (1st) - No. 27	37	00/
Serving 4	40	0 /
Minute of Amendment and Answers 26 & 30	64	00 _
Defenders specification (2nd) - No. 40	37	00 /
Serving 3	30	00 _
Report	26	00
c/f.	£1,302	09
		<u>l</u>

						Constitution delicated the style of the second second	
•	<u>.</u>		-4- B/f.			£1,302	09
			Minute of Amendment No. 50			27	00 /
,			Copyings: 4 copies each of:-				
		} 	List of witnesses 1 sh ea				•
,			Duplicate Inventory of Process 2 shs ea				• .
	21-9	Ď	Inventories of Productions and Productions Nos. 7 to 11, 14 to 16, 19 to 21, 28 & 29, 31 to 40, 41 to 49 70 shs ea (73 shs ea)	Mm ?c	opi	116	80
			Attendance at Proof Level Iseak? 6 hrs				
_	2100	-		la Ta		126	
	98-7	6	Final Procedure	77			00
	9.8	3	Session Fees)		155	01
			Work after Decree (Supplementary Work)				
	1983 May	13	Attendance on Defender discussing residential dates for access			10	50
			Writing Pursuer's local agents re same			2	50
	Aug.		Writing Defender for Capital sum now due			2	50
			Writing him acknowledging			0	70
		15	Writing Pursuers acknowledging and enclosing				
			same			0	7 0 .
_	*	17	Attendance on telephone with Defender noting problems with Tax Inspector and noting they wish C.C.I. of divorce interlocutor			1	40
			Writing Brodies			2	50
			Copy made (interlocutor)			o	70
			Certifying same and paid dues			1	40
	·	}	Writing correspondents with same			o	70
			Correspondents writing acknowledging			0	70
			Correspondents writing Defender			o	70
	Sept.		Attendance on telephone with him noting access problems			ו	40
		23	Attendance on telephone with him re further access problems and noting he is not going to enforce award meantime			1	40 .
			Writing Brodies reporting			2	50
			- 7	(£1,821	20
ş			3,10	11			

				The second series of the second			The second secon
	•	1	-5- B/f.	(1	£1,821	20
	<u> </u>	1	outlays				
			Defences	€ 28	00 1		
1458			Record	23	75 -	+	
a sa katana			C.C.I.	3	00	\	
	المستطيدي	0	Faculty Services fees to Counsel as per	3	00		
F	her!	3	Faculty Services fees to Counsel as per	477	45	+	
д 3 8	4-19		Witnesses fees as per schedule 479.05		00		
	112.7	8	- Valuer	40	25	ļ	
	\ ' \	{		£712	45	£1,821	20
	3.4	53	Add: Posts and Incidents 12%		1	218	54
	125-8		Τ,	\		£2,039	
•	1-5-0	1	Add: Outlays			712	
						£2,752	19
			Acrent	Jee Jee	1	25	00
. 1~	16-4	1	No Agency No Legal Advice Valo 15% 90	20	{ }	278	74
	142.	23	No Legal Advice			 	6,7
	1.70	1		-		3053	13
:			Julitors Jec Vat	#		118	
	-	1	Val			17	70
•		1	l i			3191	63
		{ }		, D	+ 1	142	23.
		1	A,	jul Off	1 -	3191 142 3049	40
		 					<u>'</u>
			The Auditor of Court of Session/Sheriff Court is	respecti	ully	requested	
		1	to tax the foregoing account of expenses on an A or Fund paying basis.	gent and	Clien	p, third	party
		}	1			 	
				1	{ }	4	
		ļ }	l i		1	4	
1	} '	1 .		11	1	ď	Į
			Date: Deputy Secretary. Legal Aid Central C Law Society of Scot			} }	