# AUDITOR OF THE COURT OF SESSION 

PARLIAMENT HOUSE, EDINBURGH, EH IRQ
RUTLAND EXCHANGE No. 304
0312252595 Extn. 306

Fth January 1987.

Legal Aid (Scotland), Criminal Accounts Department, 44 Drumsheugh Gardens, EDINBURGH.

## AT

Dear Sir,

| H.M. Advocate v, \& Org. |
| :--- |
| Donald R. Findlay, Esq., Advocate |
| William Dunlop, Esq., Advocate |

On Christmas Eve Mr. Findlay managed to find time to attend on the Auditor to make submissions for himself and his Junior. None of these were thought to require any reply on behalf of the Committee?

The fee accepted by Mr. Macaulay was mentioned. Mr. Findlay's recollection was that the Advocate Depute abandoned the case against Mr. Macaulay's client at the end of the Crown evidence, some days before the conclusion of the trial. You may wish to check whether the enhanced daily fee of $£ 275$ was paid for the whole nineteen days.

The Auditor's principal Report with its appended Note is enclosed, together with a Note of Fee.

The papers await collection from this office.
Yours faithfully,



Encls.

Deputy Secretary
Head of Criminal Accounts Dept

HA

I refer to your memo dated 8 January with enclosures.
I confirm that it is in order for the additional payments to be made to counsel in terms of the Auditor's decision.

## THE LAW SOCIETY OF SCOTLAND

## LEGAL AID CENTRAL COMMITTEE

## Memorandum



## H.M.A. v

Attached is a copy letter from the Auditor of the Court of Session together with his note in respect of his findings for the above case.

The fee claimed by Mr. Findlay was for the sum of $£ 5,965.00$ and the sum offered by the Committee was $£ 4,545.00$ which was arrived at by allowing three consultations at $£ 90.00$ and $£ 225.00$ per day in respect of each of the 19 days Trial.

With regard to the claim made by Mr. W. Dunlop, Advocate which totalled the sum of $£ 3,531.00$, the fee offered by the Committee was $£ 3,056.00$.

Perhaps you would be good enough to confirm that it is in order for the additional payments to be made.

