

MESSRS. ALEX MORISON \& CO. - THE LAW SOCIETY OF SCOTLAND was grarted Legal Advice and Assistance on 26th January 1984 by Mr. J. R. E. Osborne of Alex Morison \& Co:s W.S.s Edinuurgh. The subject matter was Advice on a Criminal Pleading Dibt on 3rd February 1984 to which had been cited by the Procurator Fiscal, Flinburgh charged with a Contravention of Section 6 (1) (a) of the Road Traffic: Act 1972 as amended. The Account sumitted in terms of the Legal Advice and Assistance Schene by Mr. Osborne was not agreed between the Legal Aid Central Committee and Mr. Osborne and was remitted to me for taxation. I appointed 20th June 1984 as the Diet of Taxation. On trat date the Legal Aid Central Committee were represented by and a member of his Staff. There was no appeariance on behalf of Messis. Alex Morison \& Co. I accordingly taxed the Account without the benefit of sulmissions on their behalf.

The Account comprised an attendance between solicitor and client taking instructions with regard to the Complaint, fully discussing and advising and taking statement ( $\frac{1}{2}$ hour), framing the statement (1 sheet) and copy, perusirg the Complaint (oharged as a separate item) $)^{\prime}$ attendance of solicitor with client on the day of the Pleading Diet noting dates, circumstances and discussing charged as a 5 minute meeting. on behalf of the Central Committee objected to the charge for iraming and copying the statement and the charge for perusing the Complaint after the first attendance between solicitor and client at which the instructions had been given. I taxed off the charges of 84.00 ard 80 p framing and copying the statement by $\square$ and $£ 4.00$ fo: perusing the Complaint.

In the circumstances of this particular case it seemed to me that very early in the first meetirg between solicitor and client the Complaint would have to be cinsidered by the solicitor. It related to a single Charge under the Foad Iraffic Act and in my opinion did not justify further perusal after a ralf hour meeting with the client. This being a Legal Advice and Assistance matter could not be represented by a solicitor in terms of her LAA Certificate at the Pleading Diet. She in fact had a furthe: meeting with her solicitor on the morning of the Pleading Diet for which a charge of $£ 6.00$ was made /
made in the Account and to which moexception was taken by $\square$ . In all these circumstances I concluded that the taking of the sitatement was unnecessary and accordingly dis:allowed it.

In the absence of any sulmission on behalf of the solicitor concerned and havirg regard to the view I formed of the circum:stances in this particular case the abatements I made in the course of this taxation were directly relevant to this case and are not accordingly to be taken as expressions of general principle.

This note is written at the request of

W. Bryden

Joint Auditor; Edinturgh Sheriff Court


